



Fuzzy Conditional Inference and Reasoning for Fuzzy Granular Propositions using Two Fold Fuzzy Logic

Venkata Subba Reddy Poli

EasyChair preprints are intended for rapid dissemination of research results and are integrated with the rest of EasyChair.

November 15, 2022

Fuzzy Conditional Inference and Reasoning for Fuzzy Granular Propositions using Two Fold Fuzzy Logic

Abstract

In this paper, we consider fuzzy granular propositions with two fold fuzzy sets. We proposed fuzzy intuitions similar to Fukami intuitions. We studied fuzzy inference and reasoning for granular propositions using two fold fuzzy logic. We considered fuzzy granular intuitions containing “if \dots then \dots ”, “if \dots then \dots else \dots ”, “if \dots and/or \dots then \dots ”. We used our method which is different from the Zadeh and Mamdani methods. we have shown that the all fuzzy intuitions are satisfied with our method

Keywords: fuzzy logic, two fold fuzzy logic, fuzzy conditional inference, fuzzy reasoning, fuzzy granular propositions, fuzzy intuitions,

1. Introduction

Zadeh [9] and Mamdani [2] proposed fuzzy conditional inference with a single membership function. Fukami[3] developed logical constructs for fuzzy intuitions using Godel definition and Standard sequence methods. We considered fuzzy granular intuitions with two fold fuzzy set/ The two fold fuzzy set is defined as \tilde{A} where fuzzy set has two membership functions $\tilde{A}=\{A_1, A_2\}$, Where A_1 support the information and A_2 is against the information.

For instance two fold fuzzy set is given by

$\tilde{A}=\{true, false\}, \{belied, disbelief\}, \{known, unknown\}, \{likely, unlikely\}$
etc

We consider different types of fuzzy inferences with two fold fuzzy sets

The evidence is granular if it consists of collection of propositions[11],

$$E = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$$

$$E = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$$

$g_1 = x_1$ is A_1 is λ_1
 $g_2 = x_2$ is A_2 is λ_2
 ...

$g_n = x_n$ is A_n is λ_n

Suppose we have granular propositions

$g_1 = x$ is very ripe is likely

$g_2 = x$ is ripe is very likely

$g_3 = x$ is ripe is unlikely

The granular propositions with two fold fuzzy set is given by

$g_1 = x_1$ is \tilde{A}_1 is λ_1

$g_2 = x_2$ is \tilde{A}_2 is λ_2

...

$g_n = x_n$ is \tilde{A}_n is λ_n

$g = x$ is \tilde{A} is λ

Where \tilde{A}_1 is two fold fuzzy set For instance fuzzy granularity may be given by

$\tilde{A} = \{true, false\}$, $\lambda =$ true, very false etc,

fuzzy granular may be applied on respective membership functions

$\tilde{A}_\lambda = \{true, veryfalse\}$

$\tilde{A} = \{belied, disbelief\}$, $\lambda =$ belief, more or less disbelief etc,

$\tilde{A}_\lambda = \{belied, moreorlessdisbelief\}$

$\tilde{A} = \{known, unknown\}$, $\lambda =$ not known, very unknown etc,

$\tilde{A}_\lambda = \{notknown, unknown\}$

$\tilde{A} = \{likely, unlikely\}$, $\lambda =$ likely, very unlikely etc,

$\tilde{A}_\lambda = \{likely, veryunlikely\}$

Fuzzy conditional inference for granular propositions may be given as

Type-1

If x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{Q} is λ

x is \tilde{P}_1 is λ

y is ?

If *apple* is *red* then *apple* is *ripe* is very true

apple is very *ripe* is true

y is ?

Type-2

If x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{Q} else y is \tilde{R} is more true
 x is \tilde{P}_1

y is ?

If *apple* is *ripe* then *apple* is *taste* else *apple* is *sour* is very true
apple is very *ripe* is very true

y is ?

Type-3

If x is \tilde{P} and If x is \tilde{P} and x is \tilde{Q} or x is \tilde{R} then y is \tilde{S} is λ_1
 x is \tilde{P}_1 and x is \tilde{Q}_1 or x is \tilde{R}_1 is λ_2

y is ?

If x is red or x is ripe and x is big then x is taste is very very true
 x is red or x is ripe and x is very big is very true

y is ?

Causal Logic

Consider the causal logical inference [1]

Modus Ponens

$p \rightarrow q$
 P

q

Modus Tollens

$p \rightarrow q$
 q'

P'

Generalization

$p \vee q = p$

$$p \vee q = q$$

Specialization

$$p \wedge q = p$$

$$p \wedge q = q$$

Table 1: Causal logic.

Causal Logic	Proposition	Inference
Modus Ponens	x is p	y is q
Modus Ponens	x is not p	y is not q
Modus Tollens	y is q	x is p
Modus Tollens	y is not q	x is not p

Fuzzy plausibility

Plausibility theory will made inconsistent information into consistent.

Generalization

$$p \vee q, \mu = p, \mu$$

$$p \vee q, \mu = q, \mu$$

Specialization

$$p \wedge q, \mu = p, \mu$$

$$p \wedge q, \mu = q, \mu$$

The inference is given using generalization and specialization

$$p \wedge q \vee r, \mu = p \vee q, \mu = p, \mu$$

$$p \wedge q \vee r, \mu = q \vee r, \mu = q, \mu$$

$$p \wedge q \vee r, \mu = r \vee p, \mu = p, \mu$$

2. Two fold fuzzy logic

The fuzzy logic is combination of fuzzy sets using logical operators. The fuzzy logic with two fold fuzzy sets is combination of two fold fuzzy sets using logical operators. The fuzzy logic bases on two fold fuzzy set shall be studied similar lines of Zadeh fuzzy logic.

Some of the logical operations are given below for fuzzy sets with two fold fuzzy membership functions.

\tilde{A} , \tilde{B} and \tilde{C} are fuzzy sets with two fold fuzzy membership functions.

Negation

x is not \tilde{A}

$$\tilde{A}'(x) = \{1 - \mu_A^{true}(x), 1 - \mu_A^{false}(x)\}/x$$

Disjunction

x is \tilde{A} or y is \tilde{B}
 $\tilde{A} \vee \tilde{B} = \{\max(\mu_A^{true}(x), \mu_B^{true}(y)), \max(\mu_A^{false}(x), \mu_B^{false}(y))\}/(x, y),$

Conjunction

x is \tilde{A} and y is \tilde{B}
 $\tilde{A} \wedge \tilde{B} = \{\min(\mu_A^{true}(x), \mu_B^{true}(y)), \min(\mu_A^{false}(x), \mu_B^{false}(y))\}/(x, y),$

Composition

if x is \tilde{A} then y is \tilde{B}
 x is \tilde{A}_1

y is $\tilde{A}_1 \circ (\tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B})$

$\tilde{A} \circ (\tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{B}) = \{\min\{\mu_A^{true}(x), \min(1, 1 - \mu_A^{true}(x) + \mu_B^{true}(y))\},$
 $\min\{\mu_A^{Diselief}(x), \min(1, 1 - \mu_A^{false}(x) + \mu_B^{false}(y))\}\}/y$

if $x = y$
 $= \{\min\{\mu_A^{true}(x), \min(1, 1 - \mu_A^{true}(x) + \mu_B^{true}(x))\},$
 $\min\{\mu_A^{false}(x), \min(1, 1 - \mu_A^{false}(x) + \mu_B^{false}(x))\}$

Fuzzy quantifiers

The fuzzy propositions may contain quantifiers like “very” , “more or less” etc. These fuzzy quantifiers may be eliminated as

Concentration

x is very \tilde{A}
 $\mu_{very \tilde{A}}(x) = \{\mu_{very A}^{true}(x)^2, \mu_{very A}^{false}(x)^2\}$

Diffusion

if x is more or less \tilde{A}
 $\mu_{more \ or \ less \ \tilde{A}}(x) = \{\mu_{more \ or \ less \ A}^{true}(x)^2, \mu_{more \ or \ less \ A}^{false}(x)^{0.5}\}$

3. Fuzzy Conditional Inference for Fuzzy Granular Propositions

The fuzzy conditional propositions is of the form ”if (precedent part) then (consequent part)”.

The consequent part is derived from precedent part for fuzzy conditional inference [6].

$$\int \mu_Q(y) = \int \mu_P(x), \text{ i.e., } Q \subseteq P \text{ and } P \subseteq Q$$

Mamdani [2] fuzzy conditional inference is given by if x is P then y is $Q = \{ \int \mu_P(x) \times \int \mu_Q(x) \}$

if x is P then y is $Q = \{ \int \mu_P(x) \}$

Consider the fuzzy conditional inference

If x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{Q} else y is \tilde{R}

The fuzzy conditional inference is given by

If x is \tilde{P} then y is $\tilde{Q} \vee$ If x is \tilde{P}' then y is \tilde{R}

Using Fuzzy plausibility, fuzzy inference is given by

If x is \tilde{P} then y is \tilde{Q}
 If x is \tilde{P}' then y is \tilde{R}

Confider fuzzy inference Type-1

The fuzzy inference is given for Type-1 is given by using generalization and specialization

If x is \tilde{P}_λ then y is \tilde{Q}_λ is λ
 x is \tilde{P}_1 is λ

y is $\tilde{Q}_{1\lambda}$

Confider fuzzy inference Type-2

The fuzzy inference is given for Type-2 is given by using generalization and specialization

The fuzzy inference is given for Type-2 using generalization and specialization

if x is \tilde{P}_λ then x is \tilde{Q}_λ
 x is \tilde{P}_1

y is $\tilde{Q}_{1\lambda}$

if x is P' then x is \tilde{R}_λ
 x is \tilde{P}_1

y is $\tilde{R}_{1\lambda}$

Confider fuzzy inference Type-3

The fuzzy inference is given for Type-3 is given by using generalization and specialization

If x is \tilde{P}_λ then y is \tilde{S}
 x is \tilde{P}_1

y is \tilde{S}_1

If x is \tilde{Q}_λ then y is \tilde{S}
 x is \tilde{Q}_1

y is \tilde{S}_λ

If x is \tilde{R}_λ then y is \tilde{S}
 x is \tilde{R}_1

y is $\tilde{S}_{1\lambda}$

Type-1, Type-2 and Type-3 will give two criterions.

Criteria-1 $_\lambda$

If x is \tilde{P}_λ then y is \tilde{Q}_λ
 x is $\tilde{P}_{1\lambda}$

y is $\tilde{Q}_{1\lambda}$

Type-11, Type-21 and Type-31 gives two criterions

Criteria-2 $_\lambda$

If x is \tilde{P}'_λ then y is \tilde{R}_λ
 x is $\tilde{P}'_{1\lambda}$

y is $\tilde{R}_{1\lambda}$

The fuzzy intuitions for Criteria-1 $_\lambda$ are given by

4. Verification of fuzzy intuition using Fuzzy Conditional Inference

5. Fuzzy Granular Propositions

The granular fuzzy inference is drawing a conclusion from granular fuzzy propositions.

Table 4: Fuzzy inference for Criteria-1 $_{\lambda}$.

Intuition	Proposition	Inference
$I1_{\lambda}$	x is \tilde{P}_{λ}	y is \tilde{Q}_{λ}
$I2_{\lambda}$	y is \tilde{Q}_{λ}	x is \tilde{P}_{λ}
$II1_{\lambda}$	x is very \tilde{P}_{λ}	y is very \tilde{Q}_{λ}
$III1_{\lambda}$	y is very \tilde{Q}_{λ}	x is very \tilde{P}_{λ}
$III1_{\lambda}$	x is more or less \tilde{P}_{λ}	y is more or less \tilde{Q}_{λ}
$III2_{\lambda}$	y is more or less $\tilde{Q}_{\lambda} \circ \lambda$	x is more or less \tilde{P}_{λ}
$IV1_{\lambda}$	x is not \tilde{P}_{λ}	y is not \tilde{Q}_{λ}
$IV2_{\lambda}$	y is not \tilde{Q}_{λ}	x is not \tilde{P}_{λ}

Fuzzy Conditional Inference shall be verified similar lines of Criteria-1

Verification of fuzzy intuitions for Criteria-1

4.1.1 In the case of intuition I1

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{P}_{\lambda} \circ (\tilde{P}_{\lambda} \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_{\lambda}) \\ &= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Considering $\tilde{P}_{\lambda} \rightarrow \tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$

Considering $\tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)) \\ &= \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y) \wedge (\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Using specialization

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)$$

$$= y \text{ is } \tilde{S}$$

intuition I-1 satisfied.

4.1.2 In the case of intuition I2

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{P}_{\lambda} \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_{\lambda}) \circ \tilde{Q}_{\lambda} \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y) \end{aligned}$$

Considering $\tilde{P}_{\lambda} \rightarrow \tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$

Considering $\tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)$$

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)$$
 Using specialization

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x)$$

$$= x \text{ is } \tilde{P}$$
 intuition I-2 satisfied.

4.1.3 In the case of intuition III1

$$\text{very}\tilde{P} \circ (\tilde{P}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_\lambda)$$

$$= \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{P}}(x) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y))$$
 Considering $\tilde{P}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$
 Considering $\tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$

$$= \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{S}}(y) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(x))$$

$$= \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{S}}(y) \wedge (\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y))$$
 Using specialization

$$= \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{S}}(y)$$

$$= y \text{ is } \text{very}\tilde{S}$$
 intuition II-1 satisfied.

4.1.4 In the case of intuition II2

$$(\tilde{P}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_\lambda) \circ \text{very } \tilde{Q}_\lambda$$

$$= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{S}}(y)) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)$$
 Considering $\tilde{P}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$
 Considering $\tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \circ \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{P}}(x)$$

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{P}}(x)$$
 Using specialization

$$= \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{P}}(x)$$

$$= x \text{ is } \text{very}\tilde{P}$$
 intuition II-2 satisfied.

4.1.5 In the case of intuition III1

$$\text{indented } \text{moreorless}\tilde{P} \circ (\tilde{P}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_\lambda)$$

$$= \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{P}}(x) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y))$$
 Considering $\tilde{P}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$
 Considering $\tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$

$$= \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{S}}(y) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(x))$$

$$= \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{S}}(y) \wedge (\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y))$$
 Using specialization

$$= \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{S}}(y)$$

$=y$ is *very* \tilde{S}
intuition III-1 satisfied.

4.1.6 In the case of intuition III2

$$(\tilde{P}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_\lambda) \circ \text{more or less } \tilde{Q}_\lambda \\ = (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{S}}(y)) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)$$

Considering $\tilde{P}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$

Considering $\tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \circ \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{P}}(x) \\ = \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{P}}(x)$$

Using specialization

$$= \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{P}}(x) \\ =x \text{ is } \textit{very}\tilde{P}$$

intuition III-2 satisfied.

4.1.7 In the case of intuition IV1

$$\text{not } \tilde{P}_\lambda \circ (\tilde{P}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{S}) \\ = \int \mu_{\text{not}\tilde{P}}(x) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y))$$

Considering $\tilde{P}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$

Considering $\tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$

$$= \int \mu_{\text{not}\tilde{S}}(y) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(x)) \\ = \int \mu_{\text{not}\tilde{S}}(y) \wedge (\int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y))$$

Using specialization

$$= \int \mu_{\text{not}\tilde{S}}(y) \\ =y \text{ is } \textit{not}\tilde{S}$$

intuition IV-1 satisfied.

4.1.8 In the case of intuition IV2

$$(\tilde{P}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{Q}_\lambda) \circ \text{very } \tilde{Q}_\lambda \\ = (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{S}}(y)) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{S}}(y)$$

Considering $\tilde{P}_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$

Considering $\tilde{S} = \tilde{P}$

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \circ \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{P}}(x) \\ = \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{P}}(x)$$

Using specialization

$$= \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{P}}(x) \\ =x \text{ is } \textit{very}\tilde{P}$$

intuition IV-2 satisfied.

Criteria-1 is suitable for I1,I-, II1, II2, III1, III2, IV1 and IV2.

Criteria-1 $_{\lambda}$ will be satisfies for $I1_{\lambda}, I2_{\lambda}, III1_{\lambda}, II2_{\lambda}, III1_{\lambda}, III2_{\lambda}, IV1_{\lambda}$ and $IV2_{\lambda}$.

The fuzzy intuitions for Criteria-2 $_{\lambda}$ are given by

Table 5: Fuzzy inference for Criteria-2 $_{\lambda}$.

Intuition	Proposition	Inference
$I1'_{\lambda}$	x is \tilde{P}'_{λ}	y is \tilde{R}_{λ}
$I2'_{\lambda}$	y is \tilde{R}_{λ}	x is \tilde{P}'_{λ}
$III1'_{\lambda}$	x is very \tilde{P}'_{λ}	y is very \tilde{R}_{λ}
$II2'_{\lambda}$	y is very \tilde{R}_{λ} o	x is very \tilde{P}'_{λ}
$III1'_{\lambda}$	x is more or less \tilde{P}'_{λ}	y is more or less \tilde{R}_{λ}
$III2'_{\lambda}$	y is More or less \tilde{R}_{λ}	x is more or less \tilde{P}'_{λ}
$IV1'_{\lambda}$	x is not \tilde{P}'_{λ}	y is not \tilde{R}_{λ}
$IV2'_{\lambda}$	y is not \tilde{R}_{λ} o is	x is not \tilde{P}'_{λ}

Fuzzy Conditional Inference shall be verified similar lines of Criteria-2
Verification of fuzzy intuitions for Criteria-2

4.2.1 In the case of intuition I'1

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{P}_{\lambda} \circ (\tilde{P}'_{\lambda} \rightarrow \tilde{R}_{\lambda}) \\ &= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}}(x) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Considering $\tilde{P}'_{\lambda} \rightarrow \tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$

Considering $\tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \\ &= \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y) \wedge (\int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Using specialization

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)$$

$$= y \text{ is } \tilde{R}$$

intuition I'-1 satisfied.

4.2.2 In the case of intuition I'2

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{P}'_{\lambda} \rightarrow \tilde{R}_{\lambda}) \circ \tilde{R}' \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{R}'}(y) \end{aligned}$$

Considering $\tilde{P}'_{\lambda} \rightarrow \tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$

Considering $\tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \circ \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x)$$

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x)$$
 Using specialization

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x)$$

$$= x \text{ is } \tilde{P}'_\lambda$$
 intuition I'-2 satisfied.

4.2.3 In the case of intuition II'1

very $\tilde{P}'_\lambda \circ (\tilde{P}'_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{R}_\lambda)$

$$= \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{P}'}(x) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y))$$
 Considering $\tilde{P}'_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$
 Considering $\tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$

$$= \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{R}'}(y) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y))$$

$$= \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{R}'}(y) \wedge (\int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y))$$
 Using specialization

$$= \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{R}}(y)$$

$$= y \text{ is very } \tilde{R}_\lambda$$
 intuition II'-1 satisfied.

4.2.4 In the case of intuition II'2

$(\tilde{P}'_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{R}_\lambda) \circ \text{very } \tilde{R}_\lambda$

$$= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \circ \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{R}}(y)$$
 Considering $\tilde{P}'_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$
 Considering $\tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \circ \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{P}'}(x)$$

$$= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{P}'}(x)$$
 Using specialization

$$= \int \mu_{\text{very}\tilde{P}'}(x)$$

$$= x \text{ is very } \tilde{P}'_\lambda$$
 intuition II'-2 satisfied.

4.2.5 In the case of intuition III'1

more or less $\tilde{P}'_\lambda \circ (\tilde{P}'_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{R}_\lambda)$

$$= \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{P}'}(x) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y))$$
 Considering $\tilde{P}'_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$
 Considering $\tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$

$$= \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{R}'}(y) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y))$$

$$= \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{R}}(y) \wedge (\int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y))$$
 Using specialization

$$= \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{R}}(y)$$

$=y$ is more or less \tilde{R}_λ
intuition III'-1 satisfied.

4.2.6 In the case of intuition III'2

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{P}'_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{R}_\lambda) \circ \text{more or less } \tilde{R}_\lambda \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \circ \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{R}}(y) \end{aligned}$$

Considering $\tilde{P}'_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$

Considering $\tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \circ \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{P}'}(x) \\ &= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{P}'}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Using specialization

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \mu_{\text{moreorless}\tilde{P}'}(x) \\ &= x \text{ is more or less } \tilde{P}'_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

intuition II'-2 satisfied.

4.2.7 In the case of intuition IV'1

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{not } \tilde{P}'_\lambda \circ (\tilde{P}'_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{R}_\lambda) \\ &= \int \mu_{\text{not}\tilde{P}'}(x) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Considering $\tilde{P}'_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$

Considering $\tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \mu_{\text{not}\tilde{R}'}(y) \circ (\int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \\ &= \int \mu_{\text{not}\tilde{R}'}(y) \wedge (\int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Using specialization

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \mu_{\text{not}\tilde{R}}(y) \\ &= y \text{ is not } \tilde{R}_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

intuition IV'-1 satisfied.

4.2.8 In the case of intuition IV'2

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{P}'_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{R}_\lambda) \circ \text{not } \tilde{R}_\lambda \\ &= (\int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \rightarrow \int \mu_{\tilde{R}}(y)) \circ \int \mu_{\text{not}\tilde{R}}(y) \end{aligned}$$

Considering $\tilde{P}'_\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$

Considering $\tilde{R} = \tilde{P}'$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \circ \int \mu_{\text{not}\tilde{P}'}(x) \\ &= \int \mu_{\tilde{P}'}(x) \wedge \int \mu_{\text{not}\tilde{P}'}(x) \end{aligned}$$

Using specialization

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \mu_{\text{not}\tilde{P}'}(x) \\ &= x \text{ is not } \tilde{P}'_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

intuition IV'-2 satisfied.

Criteria-1 is suitable for I'1,I'2, II'1, II'2, III'1, III'2, IV'1 and IV'2.

Criteria-2 λ will be satisfies for $I1'_{\lambda}, I2'_{\lambda}, III1'_{\lambda}, II2'_{\lambda}, IIII1'_{\lambda}, IIII2'_{\lambda}, IV1'_{\lambda}$ and $IV2_{\lambda}$.

6. Granular Fuzzy Certainty Factor

The granular fuzzy certainty factor(GFCF) shall made as single fuzzy membership functions with two fuzzy membership functions to eliminate the conflict of evidence between “true ”and “false”.

Definition 4.1 *The FCF of $\mu_{\tilde{A}}$ for propositions “x is \tilde{A} ” is characterized by its membership function $\mu_{\tilde{A}}^{FCF}(x) \rightarrow [0, 1]$, where $\mu_{\tilde{A}}^{FCF}(x) = \{\mu_A^{true}(x) - \mu_A^{false}(x)\}/x$,
 $\mu_{\tilde{A}}^{FCF}(x) < 0, \mu_{\tilde{A}}^{FCF}(x) = 0$ and $\mu_{\tilde{A}}^{FCF}(x) > 0$
are the redundant, insufficient and sufficient respectively.*

The FCF will become single fuzzy membership function.

The GFCF is give by

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_{\tilde{A}}^{FCF}(x) \circ \lambda \\ &= \{\mu_A^{true}(x) - \mu_A^{false}(x)\} \circ \lambda \end{aligned}$$

For instance

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_{\tilde{A}}^{FCF}(x) \text{ overy true} \\ &= \{\mu_A^{true}(x) - \mu_A^{false}(x)\} \text{ overy true} \\ &= \{\mu_A^{true}(x)^2 - \mu_A^{false}(x)\} \end{aligned}$$

7. Conclusion

Fuzzy intuitions and Fuzzy granular intuitions are considered for two fold fuzzy logic. These intuitions are studied for fuzzy conditional inference containing “and/or ”and “if \dots then \dots else \dots ”. All fuzzy intuitions are satisfied with our fuzzy conditional inference method which is different from Zadeh and Mamdani fuzzy conditional inference methods. Fuzzy granular certainty factor is made as a single membership function to eliminate conflict between two membership functions.

References

- [1] A. Bochman, A logic for causal reasoning, Proceedings IJCAI2003, Morgan Kaufmann, 2003.
- [2] E.H.Mamdani, Application of Fuzzy Logic to Approximate Reasoning Using Linguistic Synthesis. IEEE Trans. Computers. vol.26, no.12, pp.1182-1191, 1977.
- [3] S. Fukami, M. Muzumoto, K. Tanaka, Some Considerations on Fuzzy Conditional Inference, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol.4, pp.243-273, 1980.
- [4] N. Rescher, Many-valued Logic, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969.
- [5] Poli Venkata Subba Reddy, M. Syam Babu, Some methods of reasoning for conditional propositions, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, vol.52, no.3, pp.229-250, 1992.
- [6] Poli Venkata Subba Reddy, Fuzzy conditional inference for medical diagnosis, Proceedings, International Conference on Fuzzy Theory and Technology, pp.193-195, 1993.
- [7] Poli Venkata subba reddy, Fuzzy logic based on Belief and Disbelief membership functions, Fuzzy Information and Engineering, Vol.9, no.4, pp.405-422, 2017.
- [8] Poli Venkata Suba Reddy, New Method of Fuzzy Conditional Inference and Reasoning, Fuzzy Information and Engineering, Taylor and Francis, pp.1-11, 2021.
- [9] L. A Zadeh, "Calculus of fuzzy Restrictions", In Fuzzy sets and their Applications to Cognitive and Decision Processes, L. A. Zadeh, King-Sun FU, Kokichi Tanaka and Masamich Shimura (Eds.), Academic Press, New York, pp.1-40, 1975.
- [10] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets. Information and Control, vol.8, pp.338-353., 1965.
- [11] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets and Information Granularity. Selected Papers by L.A. Zadeh, pp.438-448., 1979.
- [12] L.A. Zadeh, A Note on Z-Numbers, Information Science, vol.181, pp.2923-2011, 1979.